

2020-21

Financial and Performance Outcomes General Questionnaire

Parliamentary Departments

Legislative Council
Legislative Assembly
Department of Parliamentary Services
Joint Investigatory Committees

Please note, Victorian Auditor-General's Office, Victorian Inspectorate, Victorian Ombudsman, Parliamentary Budget Office and Independent Broad-based Anti-corruption Commission are not included in this response. Information published in State Budget Papers include these agencies.

Contents

Contents	i
Introduction – Financial and Performance Outcomes Questionnaire	2
Section A: Output variances and program outcomes	3
Section B: Asset investment	13
Section C: Revenue and appropriations	18
Section D: Expenses	20
Section E: Overall financial performance	28
Section F: Public sector workforce	29
Section G: Government decisions impacting on finances	33
Section H: General	34
Section I: Implementation of previous recommendations	39
Section J: Department of Treasury and Finance only	41
Section K: Treasury Corporation of Victoria only	48

Introduction – Financial and Performance Outcomes Questionnaire

The Committee's inquiry into the 2020-21 Financial and Performance Outcomes examines:

- the Government's actual expenditure and revenue compared to the budgeted expenditure and revenue
- the actual performance outcomes against the targeted performance outcomes at a departmental/agency level
- other expenditure unforeseen at the time of preparing the 2020-21 Budget and outcomes achieved.

The inquiry aims to benefit the Parliament and the community by:

- promoting the accountability, transparency and integrity of the executive and the public sector
- encouraging the effective and efficient delivery of public services and assets.

This questionnaire seeks information on the departmental/agency financials for the 2020-21 financial year, what was achieved during those years and how that compares to expectations.

Timeline and format

Responses to this questionnaire are due by **5.00pm on Friday 29 October 2021**.

Please email the completed questionnaire (in word and pdf) to paec@parliament.vic.gov.au

Please also email a signed copy.

Consistency with the budget papers

Whenever referring to an initiative/program/project that is referred to in the budget papers, please use the same name as is used in the budget papers. This ensures that the Committee can correlate the information provided by the department with the information in the budget papers.

Basis of consolidation

For departments, please use the same basis of consolidation as was used in the budget papers and in the budget portfolio outcomes statement in the department's annual report.

Guidance

Please contact the secretariat should you require guidance in relation to any questions:

Janithri Wickramaratne, Lead Analyst Ph 8682 2996
Alanna Symons, Analyst Ph 8682 2987
Charlotte Lever, Research Assistant Ph 8682 2872

Section A: Output variances and program outcomes

Question 1 (all departments) Completed output initiatives from past budgets

- a) For all initiatives that were completed in 2020-21, please provide details of the outcomes achieved in the community and the outcomes actually achieved to date. Please use initiatives names as specified in *Budget Paper No.3: Service Delivery* and link the initiative to the responsible output(s) and portfolio(s).

Initiative	Year and funding allocated		Actual date of completion (month and year)	Expected outcomes	Actual outcomes	Output(s) and portfolio(s)
	Budget year	Funding allocated				
Charity Meals Program	2020-21	\$3.78m	<p>The Charity Meals Program was funded in the 2020-21 Budget from 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021.</p> <p>This program was internally funded by Department of Parliamentary Services in from March 2020 to June 2020 (2019-20).</p>	<p>The Charity Meals Program (which involved PoV partnering with a number of Melbourne's key charities) was established in March 2020 as a 'high-priority emergency' food service to Melbourne's most vulnerable and homeless impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.</p>	<p>From 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021, the Program operated seven days per week, with three different teams to ensure program continuity. As a result, over 1,500,000 meal items have been produced and distributed through various charities since the program commenced in March 2020. <i>(Note - meal comprises of two to three meal items)</i></p>	Parliamentary Services

Question 2 (all departments) Program outcomes

Not Applicable. Parliament and the parliamentary departments are not service delivery departments.

Outcomes reflect the impact on the community of the goods and services provided by a department. The questions in this section all relate to the outcomes that the department contributed to in 2020-21.

- a) Using the format of the table below, please outline the five programs that delivered the most important outcomes in the community¹ achieved by the department in 2020-21 including:
- i. The name of the program
 - ii. The relevant output(s) and portfolio(s) responsible for delivery of the program
 - iii. The program objectives
 - iv. The actual outcome achieved
 - v. The actions taken to deliver the actual outcome (i.e. the most important elements/essential parts that led the department to deliver the outcome).

Program	Output(s) and portfolio(s)	Program objectives	Description of actual outcome achieved	Description of the actions taken to deliver the actual outcome
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				

¹ 'Outcomes' are the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered. The Committee considers that an outcome could be considered important for a variety of reasons, such as the amount of funding allocated to the program, the public interest in the service or goods being delivered or where particular actions taken by the Department delivered improved outcomes.

- b) Using the format of the table below, please outline the five least performing programs that did not deliver their planned outcomes in the community by the department in 2020-21 including:
- i. The name of the program
 - ii. The relevant output(s) and portfolio(s) responsible for delivery of the program
 - iii. The program objectives
 - iv. The actual outcome achieved
 - v. Explanation for not achieving the planned outcome (including a description of what actions were taken to try and achieve the planned outcome).

Program	Output(s) and portfolio(s)	Program objectives	Description of actual outcome achieved	Detailed explanation for not delivering the planned outcome
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				

Question 3 (all departments) Treasurer’s advances and other budget supplementation

No Treasurer’s advance funding was received in 2020-21.

- a) Please identify all output(s) and portfolio(s) (and relate them to departmental programs) for which the department received additional funding after the initial Budget in 2020-21.

For each output, please quantify the additional funding, indicate the source of the additional funding (e.g. Treasurer’s Advance, unused prior years appropriations under s32 of the *Financial Management Act 1994* (Vic), supplementation through a Temporary Advance under section 35 of the FMA, or any possible sources of funding as listed in the Resource Management Framework, section 4, pg. 58) and explain why additional funding was required after funding was allocated in the Budget.

Output(s) and portfolio(s)	Program	Program objectives	Funding allocated in 2020-21 Budget	Additional funding (\$ million)	Source of additional funding as per the Resource	Funding utilised 2020-21	Reasons why additional funding was required

Parliamentary Departments

Elective surgery					
Community health services					
Mental health services					
~insert more lines as necessary~					
Total	\$2,800				

b) Please provide a detailed breakdown of any additional health portfolio expenditure that was announced after the 2020-21 Budget was handed down.

Type of service - health care	2020-21 allocation \$ million	2020-21 actual \$ million	Variance (%)	Explanation for variance	Outcomes delivered
Ambulance Victoria					
Elective surgery					
Community health services					
Mental health services					
Vaccine rollout					
Contact tracing					
COVID-19 Testing					
~insert more lines as necessary~					
Total					

- c) For the following performance measures, please outline the amount allocated in the 2020-21 Budget and the actual amount spent in 2020-21. Please provide an explanation for the variance in expenditure (of $\pm 5\%$) as well as the reason/s for not meeting or exceeding the target.

Performance measure	2020-21 target	2020-21 actual	2020-21 \$ million allocated	2020-21 \$ million actual	Expenditure variance (%)	Explanation
Proportion of ambulance patient transfers within 40 minutes						
Proportion of emergency (Code 1) incidents responded to within 15 minutes – statewide						
Proportion of emergency (Code 1) incidents responded to within 15 minutes in centres with more than 7 500 population						
Emergency patients admitted to a mental health bed within eight hours						
Occupied residential bed days						
Occupied sub-acute bed days						
Women screened for breast cancer by BreastScreen Victoria						
Persons screened for prevention and early detection of health conditions – pulmonary tuberculosis screening						
Participation rate of women in target age range screened for breast cancer						

- d) What COVID-19 performance measures did the Department of Health have in place for the year 2020-21?

e) Where are those performance measures reported on?

f) What were the outcomes achieved against those performance measures in 2020-21?

g) To gain an understanding of Victoria’s health care system and performance, please provide the data for the following variables, including an explanation for the increase or decrease compared to the previous year’s data.

Category	As at 30 June 2017	As at 30 June 2018	As at 30 June 2019	As at 30 June 2020	As at 30 June 2021	Variance between 2020 and 2021 Explanation for the variance between 30 June 2020 and 2021
Number of patients						

Parliamentary Departments

treated in emergency departments						
Number of hospital beds						
Number of intensive care unit beds						
Average time spent in waiting rooms – emergency departments						
Number of patients waiting for treatment – elective surgery						

Ambulance Victoria	2020-21 budget \$ million	2020-21 actual \$ million	Variance (%)	Explanation for variance
Employee expenses (relating to frontline staff)				
Employee expenses (relating to frontline staff)				
	As at 1 July 2020	As at 30 June 2021		
Number of shifts per week, frontline				
Number of staff, frontline				

Question 5 (Department of Families, Fairness and Housing/Department of Health and Human Services and Department of Education and Training only) Victorian Contribution to National Disability Insurance Scheme

Not Applicable

- a) The 2020-21 Budget allocated \$1.7 billion to the Victorian Contribution to National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS).³ In relation to outcomes achieved in the 2020-21 year, please provide the following information on disability services and support in Victoria.

Department of Families, Fairness and Housing/DHHS	30 June 2019	30 June 2020	30 June 2021
Number of people with disability in Victoria			
Number of NDIS participants			
Number of NDIS participants - identified as Culturally and linguistically diverse			
Number of clients transitioned to NDIS			
Participant satisfaction with services received			
Average wait time to access NDIS package			
Disability workforce - number of workers			
Victorian NDIS Implementation Taskforce - \$ million allocated			
Victorian NDIS Implementation Taskforce - \$ million spent			
Victorian NDIS Implementation Taskforce - outcomes achieved			
An update on NDIS Workforce and Skills Plan			

Department of Education and Training	30 June 2019	30 June 2020	30 June 2021
Number of school children identified with disability in Victoria			
Number of schools that received funding through NDIS			
Number of students that were supported through NDIS			
\$ amount spent to students with Disabilities Transport Program			
Number of students who accessed the Disabilities Transport Program			
Number of young people with disability who transitioned from school into employment or other options			
Number of parent/carer(s) and families that were supported to Early Childhood Intervention Services through NDIS			

³ Department of Treasury and Finance, *Budget Paper No. 2: 2020–21 strategy and outlook*, Melbourne, 2020, p. 248.

- b) What mechanisms did the Victorian Government have in place to ensure Victorians with disability and the Victorian community received value for money and quality services in exchange for the \$1.7 billion paid to the NDIS in 2020-21?

Section B: Asset investment

Question 6 (all departments) Capital expenditure variances, completion date and scope changes – existing projects

Please provide details of all capital asset programs where:

- there was a variance between TEI at announcement compared to the revised TEI as at 30 June 2021 of equal to or greater than $\pm 5\%$ or \$50 million and an explanation for the variance
- the estimated completion date at announcement is different to the completion date as at 30 June 2021 and an explanation for the change
- the scope of the project at announcement is different to the scope of the project as at 30 June 2021.

Capital expenditure

Project	Output(s) and portfolio(s) and/or agency responsible for the project	Total actual expenditure spent from announcement to 30 June 2021 (\$ million)	TEI at announcement (\$ million)	Revised TEI as at 30 June 2021 (\$ million)	Variance between TEI at announcement compared to Revised TEI as at 30 June 2021 Budget ($\pm 5\%$ or \$50 million) explanation
Chamber technology upgrade	Department of Parliamentary Services	\$1.391m out of \$1.6m approved	\$3.3m as per 2020-21 Budget Paper No. 3	\$2.65m as per 2021-22 Budget Paper No. 4	The TEI published in 2020-21 Budget Paper No. 3 was incorrect (It was inclusive of the offset amount of \$0.6m, which was internally funded by Parliament). The total asset funding received for this project is \$2.65m from 2020-21 to 2023-24.

Completion date - *Not Applicable* – The completion date did not change for any project listed as existing project in 2021-22 Budget Paper No. 4.

Project	Output(s) and portfolio(s) and/or agency responsible for the project	Estimated completion date at announcement	Revised completion date as at 30 June 2021	Explanation

Scope – *Not Applicable – The scope did not change for any project.*

Project	Output(s) and portfolio(s) and/or agency responsible for the project	Scope at announcement	Details of scope change(s) and date(s) scope changes occurred

Question 7 (all departments) Details of actual capital expenditure – completed projects (or expected to be completed)

Please provide the following details about asset investment projects that were completed in the 2020-21 financial year:

- Project name, project objectives and Department(s), Output(s) and Portfolio(s) and/or Agency/Agencies responsible for delivery of the project
- Total Estimated Investment (TEI) at announcement
- Actual cost of project
- Estimated completion date at announcement
- Actual completion date
- Explanations for any variance in capital expenditure and/or completion date.

Project	Original project objectives	Responsible Department(s), Output(s) and Portfolio(s) and/or Agency/Agencies	TEI at announcement (\$ million)	Actual cost of project (\$ million)	Estimated completion date at announcement	Actual completed date	Variance explanation (\$ value variance and/or time variance)
Electorate Office Laptops **	Upgrade electorate offices with laptops to facilitate increased flexibility through remote	Department of Parliamentary Services	\$2.080m	\$0.283m as at 30 June 2021	30 June 2021	Project not yet complete.	The project was listed as 'expected to be completed' in 2021-22 Budget Paper No. 4, as it was anticipated to be completed in 2020-21 at the time of publication of 2021-22 Budget. However, the delivery of equipment was delayed as a result of COVID-19 impact. The equipment is now expected to be

	working arrangements.						received and commissioned in 2021-22. As a result, \$1.796m out of the approved \$2.080m ATNAB funding in 2020-21 was not utilised and has been requested to be funded in 2021-22.
<i>** Electorate Office Laptops project was part of Electorate Office safety and security upgrades initiatives in 2020-21 Budget Paper No.3</i>							

Question 8 (all departments) High-value high-risk projects, gateway reviews and business cases

Under the High Value High Risk (HVHR) Framework, a project will be classified as HVHR if it is a budget funded project that has a Total Estimated Investment (TEI) of over \$250 million. HVHR projects are subject to compulsory Gateway reviews, where Gates 1 through 6 are compulsory for all eligible projects: Gate 2 outlines the development of a business case.

Not Applicable

Please list all projects included in the 2020-21 financial year that were allocated to the department and were classified as HVHR and project objectives. Please also specify which gateway reviews, if any, were completed during 2020-21 and business case details for each project.

HVHR Project	Original project objectives	Gateway review name/ Date completed	Date business case completed	Business case – publicly available? Y/N	Business case link (URL)

Question 9 (all departments) Public Private Partnership (PPP) expenditure – existing and completed

Not Applicable

Please provide the following information related to the department's PPP projects:

- The total estimated PPP investment value, the total actual expenditure from announcement to 30 June 2021, or the actual cost spent to 30 June 2021 (actual cost spent in the respective financial year) and the benefits of using the PPP financing model when delivering/funding a project over other financing methods.
- Where the estimated completion date at announcement is different to the completion date in the 2019-20 Budget and an explanation for any variance.
- Where the scope of the PPP at announcement is different to the scope of the project as it is presented in the 2019-20 Budget.

Investment value and benefit of using PPP model

Project name	Project objectives	Output(s) and portfolio(s) and/or agency	Total estimated PPP investment value at the start of the project (\$ million)	Total actual expenditure since the announcement to 30 June 2021 (\$ million)	Actual expenditure in year ending 30 June 2021 (\$ million)	Benefits of using PPP model versus other delivery/funding models

Completion date

Project name	Output(s) and portfolio(s) and/or agency	Estimated completion date	Revised estimated completion date	Variance explanation

Scope

Project name	Output(s) and portfolio(s) and/or agency	Original scope	Revised scope	Explanation for scope changes

Question 10 (Department of Transport only) Alliance contracting expenditure – existing and completed

Not Applicable

Please provide the following information related to the department's alliance contracting projects:

- The total estimated investment value, the total actual expenditure from announcement to 30 June 2021, or the actual cost spent to 30 June 2021 (actual cost spent in the respective financial year) and the benefits of using the alliance contracting model when delivering/funding a project over other financing methods.
- Where the estimated completion date at announcement is different to the completion date in the 2019-20 Budget and an explanation for any variance.
- Where the scope of the alliance contract at announcement is different to the scope of the project as it is presented in the 2019-20 Budget.

Investment value and benefit of using alliance contracting model

Project name	Project objectives	Output(s) and portfolio(s) and/or agency	Total estimated investment value at the start of the project (\$ million)	Total actual expenditure since the announcement to 30 June 2021 (\$ million)	Actual expenditure in year ending 30 June 2021 (\$ million)	Benefits of using alliance contracting model versus other delivery/funding models

Completion date

Project name	Output(s) and portfolio(s) and/or agency	Estimated completion date	Revised estimated completion date	Variance explanation

Scope

Project name	Output(s) and portfolio(s) and/or agency	Original scope	Revised scope	Explanation for scope changes

Section C: Revenue and appropriations

Question 11 (all departments and entities) Revenue – variances from previous year

Please explain any changes equal to or greater than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million between the actual result for 2019-20 and 2020-21 for each revenue category detailed in your operating statement. Please also indicate what any additional revenue was used for or how any reduced amounts of revenue affected service delivery and then link it to the relevant output and portfolio.

Please also detail the outcomes in the community⁴ achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no revenue/income categories for the department/agency for which the 2020-21 expenditure changed from the prior year's expenditure by more than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Revenue category	2019-20 actual (\$ million)	2020-21 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for changes $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million	How the additional revenue was used/the impact of reduced revenue. If no impact, how was this achieved	Relevant output(s) and portfolio(s)
Output appropriations	144.7	154.4	Variance < 10%		
Special appropriations	43.6	45.0	Variance < 10%		
Parliament refreshment rooms & gift shop sales	1.3	0.2	Lower revenue in 2019-20 due to cancellation of catered events as a result of COVID-19.	Parliament's Charity Meals Program was the main activity for catering unit in 2020-21 which provided meals to Melbourne's most vulnerable and homeless, impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. This program also enabled Parliament's casual catering staff and agency contractors to be employed during this period.	Department of Parliamentary Services
Other income	0.0	0.0	Nil Variance		

⁴That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

Question 12 (all departments and entities) Revenue – variances from budget to actual

Please explain any variances equal to or greater than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million between the initial budget estimate (not the revised estimate) and the actual result for 2020-21 for each revenue category detailed in your operating statement. Please also indicate what any additional revenue was used for or how any reduced amounts of revenue affected service delivery and then link it to the relevant output and portfolio.

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

Revenue category	2020-21 Budget estimate (\$ million)	2020-21 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for changes $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million	How the additional revenue was used/the impact of reduced revenue. If no impact, explain why	Relevant output(s) and portfolio(s)
Output appropriations	159.4	154.4	Variance < 10%		
Special appropriations	54.7	45.0	Variance due to no contributions were required in 2020-21 to the members defined benefit scheme (Parliamentary Contributory Super Fund). The 2020-21 budget estimate included \$9.3m for members defined benefit scheme.	No Impact. Special appropriation is billed based on actual spend. The special appropriations budget was reduced by \$9.3m in the revised budget estimates for 2020-21.	Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly (Special Appropriations)
Parliament refreshment rooms & gift shop sales	0.0	0.2	No budget allocated for Parliament refreshment rooms.		Parliamentary Services
Other income	0.0	0.0	Immaterial variance		

** The above figures are for Parliamentary departments only (excludes VAGO, Victorian Inspectorate, Victorian Ombudsman, Parliamentary Budget Office and Independent Broad-based Anti-corruption Commission)

Section D: Expenses

Question 13 (all departments and entities) Expenses changed from previous year

Please explain any changes equal to or greater than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million with regards to the actual result for 2019-20 and 2020-21 for each category of expenses detailed in your operating statement. Please explain any changes equal to or greater than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million with regards the actual result for 2020-21 and the 2020-21 budget estimate. Please also detail the outcomes in the community⁵ achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

Expenses category	2019-20 actual \$ million	2020-21 actual \$ million	Explanations for variances $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses. If no impact, how was this achieved
Employee benefits	114.2	119.2	Variance < 10%	
Depreciation	26.8	27.1	Variance < 10%	
Interest expense	0.6	0.5	Variance < 10%	
Capital asset charge	6.2	7.0	Additional capital asset charge approved for capital projects.	No impact.
Computer, Communications, rental & other costs	16.2	15.6	Variance < 10%	
Supplies and services	15.1	14.3	Variance < 10%	
Parliament refreshment rooms and gift shop	3.0	4.3	Higher expenditure in 2020-21 due to Charity Meals Program.	Parliament's Charity Meals Program provided meals to Melbourne's most vulnerable and homeless impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. This program also enabled Parliament's casual catering staff and agency contractors to be employed during this period.

⁵That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

Parliamentary Departments

Expenses category	2020-21 budget \$ million	2020-21 actual \$ million	Explanations for variances $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses. If no impact, how was this achieved
Employee benefits	127.2	119.2	Variance < 10%	
Depreciation	26.7	27.1	Variance < 10%	
Interest expense	0.6	0.5	Variance < 10%	
Capital asset charge	7.0	7.0	Variance < 10%	
Computer, Communications, rental & other costs***	52.6	15.6	Variance due to a number of factors. Parliamentary departments' expenditure was lower than the budget by \$11.7m mainly due to late approval of 2020-21 ERC funding for projects which had been funded internally, delays in some projects as a result of COVID-19, and lower business activity as a result of COVID-19 restrictions. Members EO&C budget expenditure was also lower with \$4.94m carry over from 2020-21 to 2021-22.	Reduced activity in 2020-21 due to COVID-19 restrictions.
Supplies and services***		14.3		
Parliament refreshment rooms and gift shop***		4.3		

** The above figures are for Parliamentary departments only (excludes VAGO, Victorian Inspectorate, Victorian Ombudsman, Parliamentary Budget Office and Independent Broad-based Anti-corruption Commission).

*** 'Computer, Communications, rental & other costs', 'Supplies and services' and 'Parliament refreshment rooms and gift shop' (mainly Charity Meals Program) line items in the Annual Report are budgeted under 'Other operating expenses' in Budget Paper No. 5.

Question 14 Expenses/interventions related to COVID-19 pandemic response

For the year 2020-21, please outline the programs and/or initiatives that were announced as part of the Victorian Government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic in the order of the highest amount allocated.

Please indicate if the department made use of emergency advances and retroactive funding approvals.

Please note whether there are identified performance measures in the budget papers related to the announced programs and please provide details of the reported outcomes.

a) On budget

Name of the program/initiative	Program/initiative objectives	Expenditure as at 30 June 2021	Output/Portfolio	Use of emergency advances/ retroactive funding approvals – Yes or No	Performance measures for the program/Initiative	Outcomes/project status
Charity Meals Program	The Charity Meals Program (which involved PoV partnering with a number of Melbourne's key charities) was established in March 2020 as a 'high-priority immediate emergency' food service to Melbourne's most vulnerable and homeless impacted by the	\$3.64m was the program expenditure in 2020-21. This program was internally funded by Department of Parliamentary Services in from March 2020 to June 2020 costing approximately \$1.0m in 2019-20.	Department of Parliamentary Services	\$3.78m was approved in 2020-21 Budget.	No new performance measures were introduced for this project.	From 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021, the Program operated seven days per week, with three different teams to ensure program continuity. As a result, over 1,500,000 meal items have been produced and distributed through various charities since the program commenced in March 2020. <i>(Note - meal comprises of two to three meal items)</i>

	COVID-19 pandemic.					

b) Off budget⁶ - Not Applicable

Name of the program/initiative	Program/initiative objectives	Expenditure as at 30 June 2021	Output/Portfolio	Use of emergency advances/ retroactive funding approvals – Yes or No	Performance measures for the program/Initiative	Outcomes/project status

c) What additional budgetary control and tracking/traceability measures were introduced by the department in 2020-21 to ensure COVID-19 programs/initiatives were deployed effectively and in line with the intended purpose? Were any of these measures amended during 2020-21? If so, how and for what reason/s were they amended?

Monthly reporting and monitoring of expenditure and planned deliverables. Quarterly reporting to the Parliament’s Audit Committee.

⁶ ‘Off budget’ is where funds for programs/initiatives are not appropriated in the budget and therefore are not accounted for in the budget. For those programs that received appropriations through specially created programs or subprograms of the budget are known as ‘on-budget arrangements’. For example, it could be that most COVID-19 initiatives are off budget items during 2020-21 unless prior budgeted programs were extended/expedited, etc due to COVID-19, then these would be classified as ‘on budget’.

Question 15 (all departments and entities) Changes to service delivery from savings initiatives

Not Applicable

For each of the savings initiatives detailed in the 2017-18 Budget, 2018-19 Budget, 2019-20 Budget and 2020-21 Budget⁷ please provide the following details of the impact on service delivery:

- a) Savings target in the 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 Budget and 2020-21 Budgets and the amount of the savings target allocated to the department/entity
- b) Actual savings achieved in 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21 and the actions taken to achieve the savings target allocated and their impact, including the link to the relevant output and portfolio impacted.

Savings initiative in the Budget \$ million	Savings target allocated to the department/entity in 2020-21	Actual savings achieved in 2020-21 \$ million	Actions taken to achieve the allocated savings target	What was the impact as a result of the measures taken to achieve the savings target? <i>(e.g. frontline and/or other areas of business that saw the impact)</i> If no impact, how was this achieved	Which output(s) and portfolio(s) were impacted (if relevant)
2017-18					
2018-19					
2019-20					
2020-21					

⁷ If there were any savings and efficiencies initiatives introduced post 2020-21 Budget.

Question 16 (all departments) Achievement of reprioritisation of existing resources

Not Applicable.

The 2020-21 Budget includes targets for 'reprioritisation and revenue offsets' to fund new initiatives (2020-21 Budget Paper No.2, p.75). This is in addition to any savings or efficiencies resulting from expenditure reduction measures. For the department (including all controlled entities),⁸ please indicate:

- what areas of expenditure (including projects and programs if appropriate) the funding was reprioritised from (i.e. what the funding was initially provided for)
- what areas of expenditure were the funds actually spent on
- for each area of expenditure (or project or program), how much funding was reprioritised in each year
- the impact of the reprioritisation (in terms of service delivery) on those areas.

Area of expenditure originally funded	Area of expenditure actually funded	Value of funding reprioritised in 2020-21 (\$ million)	Impact of reprioritisation of funding (if no impact, how was this achieved)	Output(s) and portfolio(s) impacted (if relevant)

Question 17 (all departments) Contractors, Consultants and Labour Hire Arrangements

Please indicate how much the department spent on contractors, consultants and labour hire arrangements during 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21. Labour hire arrangements include the cost of engaging the labour recruiting firm, plus additional costs paid to the labour recruiting firm for the provision of the services of the contractor. Please also explain variances equal to or greater than $\pm 10\%$ between years and list the business areas impacted and how.

	2018-19 Actual \$ million	2019-20 Actual \$ million	2020-21 Actual \$ million	Explanation for variances (2018-19 over 2019-20) $\pm 10\%$	Explanation for variances (2019-20 over 2020-21) $\pm 10\%$	Which business areas were impacted/benefitted and how?	Please link your response to relevant output(s) and portfolio(s)
Consultants	0.245	0.034	0.109	The higher consultancy expenditure in 2018-19 relates to service reviews	Consultancy expenditure in 2020-21 relates to advice on committee inquiries	Consultants are engaged for specialist strategic services or experience not available within the	All parliamentary departments

⁸ That is, please provide this information for the department on the same basis of consolidation as is used in the budget papers.

Parliamentary Departments

				for two business units in DPS.	into social media and Victoria's Road Toll, review of Serjeant-at-Arms office, remuneration review and research project on transitioning to life after Parliament.	organisation. The periodic service reviews for each business unit are conducted to optimise staff establishment and service delivery.	
Temporary and Contract Staff	0.236	0.691	0.496	The higher expenditure in 2019-20 to backfill vacant positions.	The expenditure in 2020-21 relates to backfilling vacant positions and security technical advisor at Parliament House.	Temporary and contract staff are used by various parliamentary departments to backfill vacant positions or to complete any project work.	All parliamentary departments
Contractors	2.122	3.083	3.261	Higher expenditure in 2019-20 on contract security, internal audit & assurance, performance audit of VAGO that is conducted every three years and higher expenditure in community engagement activities.	Variance < 10%	Contractors are engaged for specialist services or experience not available within the organisation. They provide a range of activities that include internal audit services, research in areas where experience and knowledge in a specific field is required (e.g. committee work) and specific legal advice or technical advice etc.	All parliamentary departments

Question 18 (PNFC and PFC entities only) Dividends and other amounts paid to the general government sector

Not Applicable

Please detail the type and value of dividends, amounts equivalent to dividends, non-dividend grants, and capital repatriations paid by your agency to the general government sector in 2020-21, explaining the reasons for any significant changes over that period and the impact of any changes on the entity.

Please provide the economic funding ratio or accounting funding ratio as applicable at 30 June 2021. Please provide details of the methodology used for the ratio calculation.

Type of dividend paid	2020-21 Budget (\$ million) <i>BP 4, pg. 20</i>	2020-21 Actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million	Impact on the agency. If no impact, how was this achieved	Funding ratio at 30 June 2021

Economic funding ratio / accounting funding ratio as at 30 June 2021	Details of the methodology

Section E: Overall financial performance

Question 19 (all departments) Impact of COVID-19 on financial performance – 2020-21

Please outline and quantify, where possible, the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the department/agency's financial performance.

Line item in the Comprehensive operating statement for the financial year ended 30 June 2021	2020-21 Budget	2020-21 Actual	Explanation of the impact caused by COVID-19 pandemic
Total revenue and income from transactions			
Total expenses from transactions			
Net result from transactions (net operating balance)			

Parliament does not have income and expenses from transactions. However, below are some of the revenue and expense impact of COVID-19.

Catering revenue in 2020-21 was lower by \$1.1m compared to 2019-20.

Expenditure on Charity Meals Program in 2020-21 was approximately \$3.64m.

Section F: Public sector workforce

Question 20 (all departments and entities) Full Time Equivalent (FTE) staff by level and category

Please fully complete the table below, providing actual FTE staff numbers at 30 June 2019, at 30 June 2020 and 30 June 2021 (broken down by the categories listed below) for the department. Please include specific categories as relevant to the department/entity and where relevant, provide a description of what categories constitute 'other'. Please provide figures consolidated on the same basis as the expenditure for the department in the budget papers and detail which, if any, entities are included in the FTE numbers provided.

Classification	30 June 2019 (FTE) Total	30 June 2020 (FTE) Total	30 June 2021 (FTE) Total
Legislative Assembly			
Grade 1	-	-	-
Grade 2	10.20	3.60	4.60
Grade 3	9.91	16.40	18.60
Grade 4	2.00	4.00	2.00
Grade 5	7.00	8.40	11.40
Grade 6	4.80	5.00	5.00
Executive Officer Grade 3	1.00	1.00	1.00
Executive Officer Grade 2	-	-	-
Executive Officer Grade 1	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total Legislative Assembly	35.91	39.40	43.60
Legislative Council			
Grade 1	-	-	-
Grade 2	6.00	5.90	5.10
Grade 3	3.80	4.80	4.82
Grade 4	3.71	8.30	10.36
Grade 5	5.60	6.16	7.20
Grade 6	6.00	7.00	8.00
Executive Officer Grade 3	1.00	1.00	1.00
Executive Officer Grade 2	-	-	-
Executive Officer Grade 1	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total Legislative Council	27.11	34.16	37.48
Parliamentary Services			

Parliamentary Departments

Grade 1	-	-	-
Grade 2	9.53	5.37	5.30
Grade 3	44.99	36.86	45.35
Grade 4	33.15	46.00	48.46
Grade 5	50.65	55.76	63.26
Grade 6	20.19	20.81	25.50
Grade 7	1.00	1.00	1.00
Executive Officer Grade 3	3.90	4.00	2.00
Executive Officer Grade 2	1.00	1.00	1.00
Executive Officer Grade 1	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total Parliamentary Services	165.41	171.80	192.87
Joint Investigatory Committees			
Grade 1	-	-	-
Grade 2	-	-	-
Grade 3	6.00	6.80	6.80
Grade 4	2.00	4.00	5.00
Grade 5	9.80	8.80	8.80
Grade 6	6.00	5.00	4.00
Executive Officer Grade 3	-	-	-
Executive Officer Grade 2	-	-	-
Executive Officer Grade 1	-	-	-
Total Committees	23.80	24.60	24.60
Members of Parliament			
Legislative Assembly	88.00	88.00	88.00
Legislative Council	39.00	40.00	40.00
Total Members of Parliament	127.00	128.00	128.00
Electorate Officers			
Legislative Assembly	222.55	223.50	213.03
Legislative Council	116.07	104.15	103.26
Party Support	0.70	0.70	-
Total Electorate Officers	339.32	328.35	316.26

*Please provide a breakdown for Youth custodial and Custodial officers by level (for example, YW1, YW2, YW3, YW4, YW5 and YW6).

Other includes: **Not Applicable

Numbers include FTE for the following entities:

See table above

Question 21 (all departments and entities) Salary by employment category

In the table below, please detail the salary costs for 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21, broken down by ongoing, fixed-term and casual, and explain any variances equal to or greater than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million between the years for each category.

Employment category	Gross salary 2018-19 (\$ million)	Gross salary 2019-20 (\$ million)	Gross salary 2020-21 (\$ million)	Explanation for any year-on-year variances $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million
Ongoing	86.466	84.727	88.531	Additional election costs (redundancy & termination Payments etc.) in 2018-19 of \$4.766m. Increase in 2020-21 relates to general staff salary increases in line with EBAs, and additional staff in the areas of Committee research and support, cyber security, electorate office relocations, work health safety etc).
Fixed-term	3.157	7.237	7.206	The increase in fixed term staff in 2019-20 and 2020-21 due to specific priorities/projects (e.g. VIRTIPS, project implementation etc).
Casual	4.153	4.956	5.098	
Total	93.777	96.920	100.835	

Question 22 (all departments and entities) Executive salary increases

Please detail the number of executives who received increases in their base remuneration in 2020-21, breaking that information down according to what proportion of their salary the increase was, and explaining the reasons for executives' salaries increasing in each bracket.

Increase in base remuneration	Number of executives receiving increases in their base rate of remuneration of this amount in 2020-21, apart from increases outlined in employment agreements			Reasons for these increases
	Female	Male	Self-described	
0-3%	2.0	3.0		Section 18 Parliamentary Administration Act
3-5%	1.0	2.0		Section 18 Parliamentary Administration Act
5-10%				
10-15%				
greater than 15%				

Section G: Government decisions impacting on finances

Question 23 (all departments and entities) Commonwealth Government decisions

Not Applicable

Please identify any Commonwealth Government decisions during 2020-21 which had not been anticipated/not been concluded before the finalisation of the State budget in 2020-21 and their impact(s) on the department's/entity's finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to funding levels). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

Commonwealth Government decision	Impact(s) in 2020-21	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)

Question 24 (all departments and entities) Commonwealth and National Cabinet decisions

Not Applicable

Please identify any Commonwealth and National Cabinet decisions during 2020-21 which had not been anticipated/not been concluded before the finalisation of the State Budget in 2020-21 and their impact(s) on the department's/entity's finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to agreements). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

Commonwealth Government decision	Impact in 2020-21	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)

National Cabinet decision	Impact in 2020-21	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)

Section H: General

Question 25 (all departments and entities) Reviews/studies undertaken

Not Applicable. Parliament and the parliamentary departments are not service delivery departments. As clarified with PAEC for 2017-18 and 2018-19 FPO questionnaire, in the context of this question, no external review or study was undertaken.

- a) Please list all internal⁹ and external reviews/studies, established, commenced or completed by or on behalf of the department/agency in 2020-21 and provide the following information:
- i. Name of the review/study and which portfolio and output/agency is responsible
 - ii. Reasons for the review/study
 - iii. Terms of reference/scope of the review/study
 - iv. Timeline for the review/study
 - v. Anticipated outcomes of the review/study
 - vi. Estimated cost of the review/study and final cost (if completed)
 - vii. Final cost if completed
 - viii. Where completed, whether the review/study is publicly available and where.

Name of the review (portfolio(s) and output(s)/agency responsible)	Reasons for the review/study	Terms of reference/scope	Timeline	Anticipated outcomes	Estimated cost (\$)	Final cost if completed (\$)	Publicly available (Y/N) and URL

- b) Please outline the Department's/Agencies in house skills/capabilities/expertise to conduct reviews/studies/evaluations/data analysis of the programs and services for which the Department /Agency is responsible.

⁹ Internal reviews do not include internal costings. Internal reviews/studies include any reviews or studies undertaken by your department and not given to external consultants. Internal reviews/studies do not include inquiries carried out by Parliamentary Committees or reviews undertaken by integrity agencies.

Question 26 (all departments) Annual reports – performance measure targets and objective indicators

a) Please provide the following information on performance measures that did not meet their 2020-21 targets.

Performance measure	2020-21 target (Budget)	2020-21 actual (Annual report)	Variance	Explanation	Output(s) and portfolio(s) impacted
Regional visits to schools to conduct Parliamentary role plays	5	1	80%	Due to COVID-19 restrictions, only one regional visit was possible this financial year. Online incursions have been offered to regional schools instead.	Legislative Assembly

b) Please provide the following information for objective indicators where data was not available at publication of the annual report

Not Applicable - Parliament does not have objective indicators, as output-funding model is not appropriate for Parliament. Parliament and the parliamentary departments are not service delivery department in the context of the Public Administration Act. Output measures reflected in BP3 are agreed as an administrative accommodation with Executive government processes and are not an output purchased by the Executive from the Legislature.

Objective indicators stated in annual report for which data was not available at date of publication	Best available data for 2020-21 and relevant date	Explanation for the absence of data in annual report	Action taken to ensure timely data for 2021-22 annual report

Question 27 (all departments and entities) Challenges experienced by department/agency

Please list a minimum of five main challenges/risks faced by the department/agency in 2020-21.

A significant challenge may be any matter or strategy that impacted the department/agency, whether it arose externally or internally or as a result of new policy or legislation.

	Challenge experienced	Internal/ External	Causes of the challenge	Action taken to manage the challenge/risk
1.	Responding to COVID-19	External	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> COVID -19 pandemic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintained and enhanced remote work capability. Supported MPs and EOs – wellbeing, training and security. Facilitated procedural arrangements to enable both Houses to sit in a COVID-19 environment, including remote participation technology. Supported Parliamentary committees inquiries in a COVID-19 environment with some public hearings held fully online and some hybrid hearings. Delivered 1,500,000 meal items in 14 months by the Charity Meals Program. Responded effectively to significant increase in security incidents. Maintained construction activity across key Parliament House projects Modified precinct operations to ensure social distancing and sanitisation measures are observed.
2.	Increased cyber security threat, and higher information and communication technology costs.	Internal and External	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Growing data usage. Continued increase in cyber security activity and expenditure. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deployed new technologies to enhance cyber security. Provided additional resources. Implemented a variety of technological initiatives.
3.	Physical security at Parliamentary precinct and electorate offices	External	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parliamentary sitting weeks. Physical location of electorate office throughout the State. Electorate office staff welfare and protection. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued security upgrades at Parliament House and electorate offices. Security audit/review

4.	Maintaining the people's House for future generations	Internal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parliamentary sitting weeks. Heritage nature of the Parliament House building. OH&S issues. Decades of under investment in infrastructure in Parliament House. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued Parliament House restoration projects to restore Parliament House façade and internal works to improve the long-term functionality of the building.
5.	Community Engagement	External	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restricted public access to Parliament due to COVID-19 restrictions. Lack of education and awareness of democratic/parliamentary process. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued communication engagement activities through various avenues including social media. Special sitting of the Legislative Assembly at the Royal Exhibition building to present the Royal Commission on Mental Health final report, with 200 invited guests. Regional sitting of the Legislative Council in Bright and associated committee and education activities in the North East region. Online incursions for schools replaced on-site visits during COVID and now a permanent offering to support better access to school tour services, especially for regional and outer metro schools.
6.				
7.				

Question 28 (all departments) Newly created bodies

Not Applicable

Please list all newly created bodies (authorities, offices, commissions, boards and/or councils) created within the department in 2020-21 and provide the following information:

- Date body created
- Expenditure in relevant financial year
- FTE staff at end of relevant financial year
- Purpose/function(s) of the body

Parliamentary Departments

Name of the body	Date body created	Expenditure in 2020-21	FTE staff	Purpose/function(s) of the body	Who the head of the newly created body directly reports to

Section I: Implementation of previous recommendations

Question 29 (relevant departments only)

- a) Please provide an update on the status of the implementation of each of the below recommendations that were made by the Committee in its *Report on the 2019-20 Financial and Performance Outcomes* and supported by the Government.

Department	Recommendations supported by Government	Actions taken at 30 September 2021
Parliament of Victoria	RECOMMENDATION 34: A review of the suitability of the Parliament’s information technology security be conducted if this has not already taken place.	Parliament has and continues to undertake reviews through the internal audit program and engagement of specialist cybersecurity assessment services. The cybersecurity settings are continually reviewed and adapted as the environment changes.

b) Please provide an update on the status of the implementation of each of the recommendations that were made by the Committee in its *Report on the 2017-18 and 2018-19 Financial and Performance Outcomes* supported by the Government.

Department	Recommendations supported by Government	Actions taken at 30 September 2021
Whole of government review	RECOMMENDATION 2: All departments consider publishing their Social Procurement Strategies to enhance transparency and enable the evaluation of performance against strategic priorities.	<p>Department of Parliamentary Services funded research through Victorian Parliamentary Library Fellowship on social procurement. The Research Paper was tabled on 3 November 2020 and was written by Victorian Parliamentary Library Fellow, Maria Mupanemunda who is from the Research and Policy Centre at the Brotherhood of St Laurence. Subsequently, the Victorian Parliamentary Library conducted a live online forum on 14 December 2020 to bring together a group of experts and practitioners to respond to the new research paper and allow members of public to ask their questions to the panellists.</p> <p>The project outputs were provided to the stakeholder who are progressing policy outcomes with the Victorian State Government.</p>

Section J: Department of Treasury and Finance only

Not Applicable

Question 30 (DTF only) Revenue certification

The Resource Management Framework outlines that on receipt of a revenue certification invoice, DTF assesses actual departmental output performance against agreed performance measures based on output delivery. In the event that assessment at output level may be deemed inappropriate, DTF and the department may agree on alternative, suitable and appropriate performance information that could be used as the basis for revenue certification.¹⁰

Please detail all outputs which DTF assessed as not having met their measures for 2020-21, indicating for each:

- a) the relevant Department, output and portfolio
- b) the performance measure(s) not met
- c) the reasons provided by the Department for the performance measure(s) not being met
- d) the amount of the initial invoice
- e) the amount of revenue certified
- f) the evidence base used for the revenue certification.

Department	Output(s) and portfolio(s)	Performance measure(s) not met	Reason for not meeting the measure(s)	Initial invoice (\$ million)	Revenue certified (\$ million)	Evidence used for approving the invoice or not approving the invoice

¹⁰ Resource Management Framework, pg. 101

Question 31 (DTF only) Net cash flows from investments in financial assets for policy purposes – General Government Sector (GGS)

Financial assets include cash, investments, loans and placements. This question seeks to ascertain the variance behind the estimated value of the financial assets held versus the actual value of the financial assets and the projects that contributed to the variance.

Regarding the 'net cash flows from investments in financial assets for policy purposes' in the GGS cash flow statement for 2020-21, please provide:

- a) the top five projects that contributed to the variance recorded in each year
- b) the initial budget estimate (not the revised estimate) for net cash flow in 2020-21 (source: 2020-21 BP 4, pg. 11) and the actual net cash flow in 2020-21 ()
- c) an explanation for variances between budget estimate and actual net cash flow.

	Project name	Department	Output(s) and portfolio(s)	Estimated net cash flow in 2020-21	Actual net cash flow in 2020-21	Variance explanation
1.						
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
	Other					
	Total net cash flow					

Question 32 (DTF only) Purchases of non-financial assets – General Government Sector (GGS)

Regarding the 'purchases of non-financial assets' by the GGS in 2020-21 (source: 2020-21 BP 4, pg. 31), please compare the initial budget estimate for each department to the actual value of 'purchases of non-financial assets' for each department, explaining any variances equal to or greater than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million (please fill all blank spaces) and then link it to the relevant output and portfolio. For variance greater than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million, please provide a breakdown of the non-financial asset purchased.

By department	Types of non-financial assets	Initial budget estimate 2020-21 \$ million	Actual 2020-21 \$ million	Variance (%)	Variance explanation	Relevant Output(s) and portfolio(s)
Department of Health and Human Services						
Department of Health						
Department of Families, Fairness and Housing						
Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions						
Department of Transport						
Department of Education and Training						
Department of Justice and Community Safety						
Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning						
Court Services Victoria						
Department of Premier and Cabinet						
Department of Treasury and Finance						
Parliamentary Departments						

Question 33 (DTF only) Revenue initiatives

Regarding the revenue initiatives announced in the 2020-21 Budget, please provide an explanation for the variances equal to or greater than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million between budget estimates and the actual results.

Initiative	2020-21 budget estimate (\$ million)	2020-21 actual (\$ million)	Explanation for any variance $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million

Question 34 (DTF only) Expenses by departments – General Government Sector (GGS)

Regarding expenses of the GGS in 2020-21 (source: 2020-21 BP 4, pg. 30), please compare the initial budget estimates (not the revised estimate) for each department to the actual expenses for each department, explaining any variances equal to or greater than $\pm 10\%$ or \$100 million (please fill all blank spaces) and then link it to the relevant output and portfolio.

By department	Initial budget estimate 2020-21 \$ million	Actual 2020-21 \$ million	Variance (%)	Variance explanation	Relevant output(s) and portfolio(s)
Department of Health and Human Services					
Department of Health					
Department of Families, Fairness and Housing					
Department of Jobs, Precinct and Regions					
Department of Transport					
Department of Education and Training					

Parliamentary Departments

Department of Justice and Community Safety					
Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning					
Court Services Victoria					
Department of Premier and Cabinet					
Department of Treasury and Finance					
Parliamentary Departments					

Question 35 (DTF only) Economic variables

Please indicate the estimated and actual result for the following economic variables. For the estimate, please use the initial estimate used in preparing the 2020-21 budget papers. For any variance equal to or greater than ± 0.5 percentage points, please provide an explanation for the variance. Please fill all blank spaces.

Economic variable	Budget estimate 2020-21	Actual 2020-21 result	Variance	Explanation for variances equal to or greater than ± 0.5 percentage points
Real gross state product				
Labour force participation rate				
Unemployment rate – overall				
Unemployment rate – male				
Unemployment rate – female				
Underemployment rate				
Youth unemployment				
Youth underemployment				
Consumer price index				
Wage price index				
Population				
Household consumption				
Property prices				
Property volume				
Employee expenses				

Question 36 (DTF only) COVID-19 pandemic response

a) What fiscal rules did Victoria have in place in 2020-21 and were any suspended or modified due to the pandemic? If so, which ones?

b) Some jurisdictions created fiscal space using provisions to reallocate spending across budget programs. To what extent did this occur in Victoria in 2020-21? Please provide some examples.

c) Did DTF introduce additional monitoring and reporting requirements of departments in 2020-21 due to additional COVID-19 expenditure? If so, please provide details of the requirements.

d) Will DTF produce a special appendix of all potential liabilities (on and off budget) for COVID-19 related measures?

e) What have been the financial management implications of the declaration of the state of emergency and state of disaster during the COVID-19 pandemic?

f) What risks associated with the emergency budgetary response have been identified by DTF and its insurers? How were these risks actively managed in 2020-21?

Question 37 (all departments and entities) Enterprise Bargaining Agreement (EBAs)

Please list the Enterprise Bargaining Agreement (EBAs) concluded in 2020-21 that had an impact for the department/agency. For each EBA, please show the number of employees affected and the growth in employee expenses attributable to the EBA.

Enterprise Bargaining Agreement	Number of employees affected	Number of employees as a % of department/entity	Growth in employee expenses attributable to the EBA (\$ million)	Growth in employee expenses attributable to the EBA (\$ million) as a % of total employee expenses
Parliamentary Officers' (Non-Executive Staff – Victoria) Single Enterprise Agreement 2020	321	28.84%	\$1.25m	1.24%

Section K: Treasury Corporation of Victoria only

Not Applicable

Question 38 Dividends

- a) Please explain the factors that have been involved in the negotiations with the Treasurer, in relation to the amount of dividends paid by the Treasurer Corporation of Victoria (TCV) in 2019-20 and 2020-21.

	Dividends paid in 2019-20	Dividends paid in 2020-21
Amount of dividends paid (\$ million)		
Factors that have been involved in the negotiations with the Treasurer to determine the amount of dividends paid.		

Question 39 Commodity risk management

- a) Please provide details of how the COVID-19 pandemic impacted on TCV's Commodity Risk Management.

Question 40 Foreign exchange risk management

- a) Please provide details of how the COVID-19 pandemic impacted on TCV's Foreign Risk Management.

Question 41 Public Private Partnership (PPP)/alliance contracting projects

- a) Please indicate how many PPP/alliance contracting projects (and which ones) TCV provided ‘project advisory services’ for in 2020-21. For each project, please also specify if the project is a newly confirmed engagement or if it was for a project that was already underway.

--

- b) Please indicate how many business cases TCV provided (and which clients these were for) as part of its ‘project advisory services’ in 2020-21. For each business case, also specify if the project forms part of the Department of Treasury and Finance’s Gateway Review Process.

Business case provided by TCV	Client	Gateway Review Process – Y/N