

[REDACTED]  
10 September 2020

TO committee secretariat  
[homelessnessinquiry@parliament.vic.gov.au](mailto:homelessnessinquiry@parliament.vic.gov.au)

Dear Sir/Madam,

My Member of Parliament, Jordan Crugnale, advised me to lodge a submission into the inquiry for homelessness in her email of 9 September 2020. I only discovered this inquiry a couple of days ago when I was trying to find out if an Epidemiologist was on the coronavirus board/ team. Please find a copy of my email to her.

It seems that the homeless inquiry is top heavy in that it has not taken submissions from long term homeless people in Melbourne. The real cause of long term homelessness could not be found this way. The bureaucratic system in Victoria and elsewhere in Australia discriminates against disadvantaged people including the homeless.

Most of the long term homeless have no hope because they were seized by social workers when they were children and have never seen any member of their biological family again. Even if any of them found any biological member of their family, there is no bond between them at all. Notwithstanding, the homeless cannot obtain a job/s because of the fact that they were foster children. Child Welfare usually only allows single mothers who have had their children taken away from them to see their children for a short period of time regardless of court orders to the contrary.

I studied Post Graduate Social Work at RMIT University although I was living on the streets in Melbourne City at the time. RMIT discriminated against me because a lecturer (as I remember her first name was [REDACTED] said that I was not suitable to be a social worker because I was homeless. I never told her that I was homeless. Notwithstanding her concerns, social worker students are told at university that they must lie. Dreadful things happen when social workers lie in a court of law. This is a very, very common problem in Melbourne and elsewhere in Australia. Social Workers are professional liars. They lie in the courts of law because foster parents and prospective foster parents want children. Child Welfare is a million dollar industry.

Church people obtain money and respectability by fostering children. Unfortunately, the government gives priority to foster parents, not the child or children. Foster children are disadvantaged even if they survive to adulthood. Most long term homeless never overcome the disadvantage that the government imposed on them. In Victoria that is because the informal decision-making organization of Child Welfare is Roman Catholic in persuasion and/or membership. This is the correct academic way of explaining the problem. Such informal bureaucratic organizations are illegal in International Law.

Children brought up in foster care do not usually learn the little things that they need to know to either survive in this world or to be respectable. Most if not all had been subject to sexual abuse and sodomy for such a long period of time in their childhood and their youth that their abuse can only be called torture. This fact is commonly known in the community if not in parliament. The problem is that the churches define morals. Those definitions do not include the welfare of the people. Most religious support organizations dob-in the homeless to the

government, especially single mothers. They do this because their religion does not approve of single mothers, but the government gives welfare money to religious organizations to help the poor and the needy. There is nothing in the Bible that requires the churches to give charity to the people.

It is well known amongst the long term homeless that main-stream religious homeless centres give priority to prostitutes and people who drink alcohol, smoke and take illegal drugs because they suffer hardship or are in need. I am usually disadvantaged at homeless centres because I do not drink alcohol or take illegal drugs in any form whatsoever. Most if not all religious organizations treat me as not being in need. I had to stop going to Ozanam Community Centre in North Melbourne because I am not a prostitute. The woman manager explained to me that prostitutes have a hard time. That is why she gave them priority. I went back years later when that woman left, but they wanted to put me into a mental hospital because I was homeless. This is a common problem at homeless centres especially in Adelaide.

Homeless Centres do not usually agree with parliamentary legislation. They say that the homeless are mentally ill because they allow themselves to be homeless. Psychiatrists say the same thing. Discrimination clauses in parliamentary legislation do not necessarily apply to psychiatrists. The greatest danger on the streets is the police, social workers and the ambulance. Psychiatrists and mental health workers are even worse than that. They make their money out of slandering the homeless as mentally ill.

#### Reasons for ending up long term homeless in Melbourne:

The Housing Commission did not give me the low interest rate I was legally entitled to when I brought my unit in Highett, Melbourne, although my only income was the Disability Support Pension. I had applied for the low interest rate and had waited for two years as required. I discovered that I would have to live three times to pay off the mortgage. The Housing Commission agreed. I therefore sold my unit in the early 1990's and brought an old bread van and had it converted into a mobile unit. I lived on the streets of Melbourne for ten years and now for the most of 25 years. I am okay at present although I can expect to end up back on the streets in Melbourne when the coronavirus parliamentary rules allow that.

I rented a housing commission unit in Fitzroy for a short while in the early 1990's, but it did not work out. I applied to rent a Housing Commission place for the 2<sup>nd</sup> time. My name reached the top of the list, but the Housing Commission refused to let me see any available unit. This was because I had obtained bond money from the Housing Commission to rent a private unite. The landlord signed a paper that he would not use this bond money for someone else, but he did. i.e. I did not at any time live in that private unit because other people did that.

The then Member of Parliament in Sandringham advised me to ask the Housing Commission for a copy of that form the landlord signed, but it refused to do that. I appealed to a court or tribunal (I can't remember which) for this bond money to be given back to me so that I could give it to the Housing Commission, but the presiding magistrate refused my application. I appealed to the Supreme Court, but the presiding judge said that it wouldn't be fair to the magistrate because she was only a new magistrate.

The bond money owing to the Housing Commission expired seven years later under the Statute of Limitations, but the Housing Commission did not recognize that. That is why it refused to let me see an available unit when my name came to the top of the list. The Housing Commission wanted me to sign a paper saying that I would pay back that bond money. In that respect I was denied the protection of the legal system of the state of Victoria.

Someone gave me a house in a remote part of South Australia. I like that house, but I cannot live in it for any length of time because I am attacked, not just harassed, by government workers. They say I should be under a worker. The problem is that the town is Roman Catholic. I am aware that people complain to the government of South Australia when I return home because I am not Roman Catholic. According to the Mental Health System, they live in fear of me because I murdered my husband when nothing like that ever happened. I have never had a dead husband.

The reason government workers can harass and attack me in South Australia is because Police Officer Dave Walker (South Australia) was the informer who caused criminal claims to be documented in the Mental Health System of Port Lincoln because he could not document them in the Police System as such because they are not true. I am not entitled to free legal aid. Officer Walker cannot be investigated because he is a teacher of police. i.e. He is above the law in South Australia.

The Ombudsman in Adelaide sent me to the Legal Aid Commission on two occasions. I was told to sue and I tried to do that in the Supreme Court, but Justice Hinton told me that I cannot sue because I am not a solicitor. I am not entitled to free legal aid. The matter is more complex than I can say here. What all of that means is that I will eventually end up living on the streets in Melbourne City again at some time in the future although I am a disability support pensioner, 74 years old.

I need to point out that I investigated homelessness because I have been homeless for the best part of 25 years. I even completed my university degree, Master of Educational Policy and Administration when I was living on the streets. What I know is that the homeless are easily attacked by government workers because non-factual criminal matters are commonly documented in the mental health system because that misinformation cannot be documented in the police system as such because it is not true.

All of that said, I have a case properly filed in the Supreme Court in Adelaide and that includes Constitution Matters, but the judiciary refuses to allow me a verbal hearing and refuses to address my application and appeal because I am not a solicitor. Justice Hinton claims that I was in jail in Victoria for the murder of my husband when I have never been in jail anywhere for anything. I have the court transcripts that prove that Justice Hinton said that, but I cannot obtain evidence from Victoria about that because I am not a criminal. The criminals have rights, not the innocent.

I have tried to have that above criminal misinformation in the Mental Health System in South Australia corrected, but that can't be done because that criminal misinformation is called an administrative tool and is protected by Secrecy Provisions.

The then state Liberal Member of Parliament in South Australia was the informer who originally caused the documentation in the Mental Health System at Port Lincoln that I was

mentally ill when I am not mentally ill. That is why Police Officer Dave Walker was able to cause his false criminal information to be documented in the said Mental Health System. I have a Constitutional Matter filed in the Supreme Court about this Internal State Terrorism, but the judiciary won't allow me a verbal hearing and won't address my application and appeal because I am not a solicitor.

I have four university qualifications including a Masters, but that means nothing in a court of law because I don't work for the government and because I am unemployed. Commonwealth Rehabilitation found that there is no job in Australia that I could do. This is because of my numerous disabilities. I am disadvantaged because I do not look disabled. I used to be a high school teacher in Melbourne, but I had problems because of my said disabilities. Be aware that Internal State Terrorism begins when a government causes its workers to harass an innocent person. As said, I am innocent of mental illness although I have been homeless for the best part of the last 25 years.

According to the said South Australian government documentation, I murdered my husband in Victoria, was charged with murder, had a trial, but was found 'not guilty' because of mental illness. That is not correct. I divorced my husband in Queensland. He remarried and died in hospital from natural causes. He was married to someone else when he died. I have never been married to anyone else. I cannot correct this South Australian misinformation because Victoria refused to give me any evidence that

- (a) I did not murder my husband in Victoria, and that
- (b) I have never been charged with murder in Victoria, and that
- (c) I have never had a court hearing about that said murder of husband, and because
- (d) no court of law found me 'not guilty' of murder because of mental illness.

Maybe Ms Jordan Crugnale can assist me with this injustice, but other Members of Parliament have tried to do that without success.

Yours faithfully,

Meret-Field Sally-Brown B.A., Gr.Dip. Teach.,  
B.Ed.St., M.E.P.A.

[REDACTED]

to Jordan

Thank you for the information. I was actually unable to find out if there is an Epidemiologist on the Covid board or the real name of that board.

\*\*\*\*important I did find that there is an inquiry into homelessness and that the committee secretariat will take late submissions, but there doesn't seem to be an avenue to contact the committee secretariat? This is important to me because I have lived for ten and more years on the streets in Melbourne beginning in the early 1990's and now on the streets in other places as well for the best part of the last 25 years. I am 74 years old and a disability support pensioner. I did not go onto the aged pension because I have too many disabilities.

I ended up living on the streets in Melbourne in the early 1990's because the Housing Commission did not give me the low interest rate I was legally entitled to receive because I am not a new Australian. The prejudice I suffered is not uncommon in the Housing Commission. I sold my unit one month before the banks foreclosed on the loans because the Housing Commission agreed with me that I would have to live three times to pay off the loan. Note that I was legally entitled to the low interest rate because of my low income. I had waited 2 years as required.

I am okay at present, but I will end up living on the streets in Melbourne at some time in the future. The only reason I have not lived on the streets in Melbourne since late February this year is because of coronavirus parliamentary rules.

I was given a house in a remote part of South Australia. I like the house, but I cannot live there for any length of time without being attacked, not just harassed, by the government of South Australia. I have had a case properly filed in the Supreme Court about this for more than five years, but Justice Hinton said that I was in jail for the murder of my husband in Victoria when that did not happen. I have the court transcripts to prove that. I also have Constitutional Matters properly filed in the Supreme Court in Adelaide. I obtained an order from a judge to enable me to do that.

The problem is that Police Officer [REDACTED] caused the documentation in the mental health system at Port Lincoln in South Australia that I murdered my husband in Victoria, was charged with murder, had a trial and was found 'not guilty' because of mental illness when none of that happened. I divorced the only man I married in Queensland. He died in hospital from natural causes and was married to someone else when he died. The Victorian Police told me that I cannot obtain evidence that none of that happened because I do not have a criminal record. I cannot obtain evidence that none of that happened in Victoria because something that does not exist cannot be obtained under Freedom of Information Legislation.

My attempts to resolve the misinformation through Freedom of Information Legislation in South Australia was in vain because the said misinformation is called an administrative tool and is protected by Secrecy Provisions. The difficulty in South

Australia is that Police Officer [REDACTED] is a teacher of police. He cannot be investigated because he is a teacher of Police. A teacher of Police in South Australia is therefore above the law.

All of this is explained in my book 'Matriarch and World War III - A 9/11 Incursion: Internal State Terrorism in Australia.' I am updating this as a 2nd edition under the name 'Globalism' at the present time because I now have a Literary Agent in the United States and a good publishing company. My new book will be published in 3 to 4 weeks. I have already had an AI Cole Radio Interview. The Coronavirus Pandemic is relevant to my Matriarch book because World War III is a collection of civil wars all around the world and because Mark Shwartz of Stanford University, California, in the United States published an article in the Stanford News Service in 2001 about biological warfare as the emerging threat of the 21st Century.

The Internal State Terrorism in Australia that I have suffered is well documented and I have the necessary university qualifications to write that book.

The point here is that if coronavirus was a biological weapon, it could be implanted anywhere to cause a surge in the disease and the parliamentary rules would be a federal matter not a state matter. I understand that Premier Dan Andrews refused help from the army in the first place and that he made a contract with private security companies and that that is the problem we all now face. I haven't yet searched for that evidence, but I heard it in the news.

Please let me know if I can lodge a short submission about homelessness because I will end up living on the streets of Melbourne again when the parliamentary rules are not so bad.

thanking you from Meret-Field Sally-Brown



**Jordan Crugnale**

Wed, Sep 9, 7:46 PM (19  
hours ago)

to me

Dear Meret-Field,

There is no Board – there is a team that is headed by the Chief Health Officer.

To contact the committee secretariat, please send an email to the below address.

<https://www.parliament.vic.gov.au/lxic-lc/inquiries/inquiry/976>  
[homelessnessinquiry@parliament.vic.gov.au](mailto:homelessnessinquiry@parliament.vic.gov.au)

Apologies this is a short email, thank you for giving me an insight into your story and experience. I sincerely hope you can put in a submission.

Kindest Regards,

---