

Inquiry into the Impact of Social Media on Elections and Electoral Administration

Ms Monika Evers

Phone or mobile number: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

Organisation name:

Your position or role:

SUBMISSION

I am an admin in on a number of pages as well as my own personal page. What I notice is that my feed is blocked if I post articles challenging the politics of the left even though that is stated aim of the page. I may not post against vaccines, climate change, jihad, abortions, Islam, Bill Gates, The WHO, Black Lives Matter riots etc. Recently posts supporting Hydroxychloroquine (ie two doctors discussing its efficacy) were labelled "harmful" and I was sent to 3 days facebook jail for this post (see HCQ post upload). I have in the past been similarly penalised for posting articles linking the Wuhan Labs to Corona virus; Reports by those taking or achieving successful legal action against vaccines and other similar factual matters. I even got banned for cherry picking—posting an article that clearly showed a glacier was not reducing but building which went against the climate change narrative, even though it was fact.

However the greatest political travesty was when our page of 100k conservative followers was removed a half an hour after the Australian elections were announced. In that week another 20 pro-Conservative pages were also removed by Facebook. Our reach was far greater than any left wing political party. We know this was politically motivated (see reclaim getup upload)

Are you interested in appearing before the committee in person to talk about your submission?

Yes

Do you have any additional comments or suggestions?

When a brand becomes everyday language it can no longer maintain its trademark. Words like doona and biro are examples of this. I believe when a community communication platform becomes so widespread in its use that control ownership and political influence of the ownership on thought and communication needs to be similarly removed. The Facebook censors have a left wing political bias that has been challenged by US Congress. You cant have that in a global communication platform—that then defeats "open debate" which is a pillar of our democracy. My personal view is that it is becoming the communication platform for the WHO/UN—a foreign power. (We had an instance where after a severe earthquake users were contacted to see if they needed support. It was a test. They had no business contacting us in Australia. That should have gone to the relevant Government authority.

Signature:

monika evers

FILE ATTACHMENTS

File1: [5f6557ba9271d-HCQ post.png](#)

File2: [5f6557ba954b4-Drain the Swamp Australia about.docx](#)

File3: [5f6557ba95b69-reclaim getup.jpg](#)