



PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

2009-10 AND 2010-11 FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES QUESTIONNAIRE — PART ONE

VICTORIAN SKILLS COMMISSION (VSC)

Note:

During the reporting period the VSC was in two portfolios: for 2009-10 and until the 2010 state election, the VSC was in the then Department of Innovation, Industry and Regional Development; following the election the VSC was placed in the Department of Education and Early Childhood Development.

The VSC purchases administrative support from its host department and the detail of that expenditure is included in the department response. All other expenditure is for funding training delivery and is consolidated in the host department response.

SECTION A: Output variations (departments only)**Question 1**

In relation to the departmental outputs listed in the budget papers, please provide a detailed explanation for all instances where an output cost for 2009-10 or 2010-11 varied from the initial target by greater than ± 10 per cent:

Output costs in 2009-10:

Output	Expected expenditure 2009-10 (2009-10 budget papers)	Actual expenditure 2009-10 (2009-10 annual report)	Explanation	Impact on the community of reduced/increased expenditure compared to budget
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
N/A				

Output costs in 2010-11:

Output	Expected expenditure 2010-11 (2010-11 budget papers)	Actual expenditure 2010-11 (2010-11 annual report)	Explanation	Impact on the community of reduced/increased expenditure compared to budget
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
N/A				

Question 2

Regarding the Department's performance measures in the budget papers:

- (a) How did the Department's 2008-09 results influence departmental planning in 2009-10?

N/A

- (b) How did the Department's 2009-10 results influence departmental planning in 2010-11?

N/A

Question 3

For each of the output costs listed for the Department in the budget papers, please break the expense for 2010-11 down into the first six months and second six months of the financial year and explain any variations greater than ± 10 per cent between the two six-month amounts:

Output	Expense 1/7/2010-31/12/2010	Expense 1/1/2011-30/6/2011	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
N/A			

Question 4

With respect to the performance measures listed in the 2010-11 budget papers for the Department (including the quality, quantity, timeliness and cost measures), for each measure where the actual result to 31 December 2010 varied by more than ± 10 per cent from the target result for 31 December 2010, please provide:

- (c) the target for 31 December 2010;
 (d) the actual result for 31 December 2010;
 (e) an explanation for the variation.

This information may be based on the information provided to the Department of Treasury and Finance as part of the half-yearly revenue certification process.

Performance measure	Target (31 December 2010)	Actual (31 December 2010)	Explanation for variation
N/A			

If the Department is unable to provide this information to the Committee, please explain:

- (f) why this information is not available; and
- (g) how the Department tracks its progress during the year with respect to its performance measures.

N/A

SECTION B: Asset investment (departments only)**Question 5**

Please provide a detailed explanation in relation to why the TEI has changed for each of the following projects and when the new TEI was approved:

Projects in 2009-10:

Project	TEI (2009-10 budget papers)	TEI (2010-11 budget papers)	When change to TEI was approved (month and year)	Explanation
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
N/A				

Projects in 2010-11:

Project	TEI (2010-11 budget papers)	TEI (2011-12 budget papers)	When change to TEI was approved (month and year)	Explanation
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
N/A				

Question 6

For each of the following asset investment projects, please provide: the actual expenditure in 2009-10 and 2010-11;

- (a) explanations for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent between the actual expenditure and what was estimated in the Budget at the start of the year; and
- (b) descriptions of the impact of any variations.

Note: For projects in 2009-10, the column 'Estimated expenditure in 2009-10 (2010-11 budget papers)' is calculated by deducting the estimated expenditure to 30 June 2009 listed in Budget Information Paper 1 (2009-10) from estimated expenditure to 30 June 2010 in Budget Information Paper 1 (2010-11) for

the project. Under some circumstances this may result in a negative number, which should be explained in the departmental response. A similar approach is used in calculating the corresponding column for projects in 2010-11.

Projects in 2009-10:

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2009-10 (2009-10 budget papers)	Estimated expenditure in 2009-10 (2010-11 budget papers)	Actual expenditure in 2009-10	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent	Impact of any variations
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
N/A					

Projects in 2010-11:

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2010-11 (2010-11 budget papers)	Estimated expenditure in 2010-11 (2011-12 budget papers)	Actual expenditure in 2010-11	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent	Impact of any variations
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
N/A					

Question 7

Please provide details of the status of each of the following asset projects for which the 2010-11 Budget Information Paper No.1 indicates that expenditure would occur after 2010-11 but the project does not appear in the 2011-12 Budget Paper No.4:

Project	Funding remaining to be spent after 2010-11 (2010-11 Budget Information Paper No.1)	Current status	Latest approved/final TEI	Construction completion date/estimated construction completion date (including the commissioning phase)
	(\$ million)			
N/A				

Question 8

Please provide the following details of any asset investment projects not covered in Question 6 where the actual expenditure in 2009-10 or 2010-11 varied from the budget estimate for expenditure by greater than ± 10 per cent.

Projects in 2009-10:

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2009-10 (2009-10 budget papers)	Actual expenditure in 2009-10	Explanation	Impact of variation
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
N/A				

Projects in 2010-11:

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2010-11 (2010-11 budget papers)	Actual expenditure in 2010-11	Explanation	Impact of variation
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
N/A				

Question 9

For each of your organisation's asset projects procured and delivered through project alliancing, please provide the following details (please provide all figures in \$ million):

Project	Funding from Government (actual expenditure)		Funding from contractual participants (actual expenditure)		Latest approved total estimated capital investment over the life of the project	Total Government liability remaining		
	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11		at 30/6/09	at 30/6/10	at 30/6/11
N/A								

Question 10

For each of your organisation's asset projects procured through Partnerships Victoria arrangements, please provide the following details (please provide all figures in \$ million):

Project	Service payments by the Department		Service payments by other government agencies		Income from non-government sources		Latest approved total estimated capital investment over the life of the project	Total Government liability remaining		
	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11		at 30/6/09	at 30/6/10	at 30/6/11
Partnerships Victoria in Schools	N/A									

Question 11

Please provide the following details of any asset projects that the Department is involved with which have a TEI greater than \$50 million which are not detailed in Budget Paper No.4 or for which details have not been supplied in response to Question 9 or Question 10 above.

Project	Funding from Government (actual expenditure)		Funding from other sources (actual expenditure)		Latest approved total estimated capital investment over the life of the project	Total Government liability remaining		
	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11		at 30/6/09	at 30/6/10	at 30/6/11
N/A								

Question 12

For any asset projects where some components are funded/delivered by the Government directly and some are funded/delivered through Partnerships Victoria arrangements in 2009-10 or 2010-11, please supply the following details of the Government funding:

Project	Expenditure of Government funding in 2009-10 (actual)	Expenditure of Government funding in 2010-11 (actual)	Latest approved TEI of Government funding
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)
N/A			

Question 13

How many staff (full-time equivalent numbers) were employed by the Department to work on public private partnerships on 30 June 2009, 2010 and 2011? Please break this number down by how many are ongoing, fixed-term, contractors and consultants.

	Number of staff (FTE) as at 30 June 2009	Number of staff (FTE) as at 30 June 2010	Number of staff (FTE) as at 30 June 2011
N/A			

Question 14

How many staff (full-time equivalent numbers) were employed by the Department to work on alliancing projects on 30 June 2009, 2010 and 2011? Please break this number down by how many are ongoing, fixed-term, contractors and consultants.

	Number of staff (FTE) as at 30 June 2009	Number of staff (FTE) as at 30 June 2010	Number of staff (FTE) as at 30 June 2011
N/A			

Question 15

Regarding the Partnerships Victoria Contract Management Training delivered by the Department of Treasury and Finance:

- (a) How many contract managers does your organisation currently employ for Partnerships Victoria projects, how many have undertaken this training, within how many months from their appointment in this role and at what cost?

Total number of contract managers for Partnerships Victoria projects as at 30 June 2011	Number of contract managers for Partnerships Victoria projects who have undertaken Partnerships Victoria training as at 30 June 2011	Length of time between when contract managers are appointed and when they undertake the training	Expenditure on this training in 2010-11 (\$)
N/A			

- (b) What proportion of the contract managers currently working on Partnerships Victoria projects have sought, and what proportion gained, an exemption to this training?

N/A

- (c) Have other people in your organisation undertaken this training? If so, how many and for what reason?

N/A

Question 16

Regarding the Partnerships Victoria Contract Managers' Forums:

- (a) What proportion of your organisation's current contract directors and contract managers participate in these forums, and at what stage of the public-private partnership project?

N/A

- (b) Have other people in your organisation participated in the forums? If so, how many and for what reason?

N/A

- (c) Has an assessment or evaluation of the usefulness of these forums, and any other services provided by Partnerships Victoria, been done? If so, please provide the results of this evaluation.

N/A

Question 17

Please detail any other training and development arrangements (including training delivered by the Department of Treasury and Finance, other providers and internal training) that are in place within your organisation for staff involved in overseeing, evaluating or managing asset projects delivered through Partnerships Victoria, alliancing or other arrangements, including:

- (a) the type of training;
- (b) the purpose of the training;
- (c) the number of people trained; and
- (d) expenditure incurred on the training.

Type of training	Purpose	Number of people trained	Expenditure (\$)
N/A			

Question 18

For each category into which financial assets, non-financial assets and liabilities are broken down in your agency's balance sheet in its annual report, please provide the balances as at 30 June 2010, 31 December 2010 and 30 June 2011 and explain any variations greater than ± 10 per cent from one date to the next:

30 June 2010 and 31 December 2010:

Financial asset, non-financial asset and liability categories	Balance as at 30 June 2010	Balance as at 31 December 2010	Explanation for any variances greater than ± 10 per cent
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
N/A			

31 December 2010 and 30 June 2011:

Financial asset, non-financial asset and liability categories	Balance as at 31 December 2010	Balance as at 30 June 2011	Explanation for any variances greater than ± 10 per cent
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
N/A			

Question 19

This question does not apply to your department.

Question 20

Please identify all asset projects where the construction completion date (including the commissioning phase) was revised in 2009-10 or 2010-11, providing:

- (a) the original and revised completion dates for each project;
- (b) when the change to the completion date was approved; and
- (c) specific reasons for any revision to completion dates.

Project	Original completion date	Revised completion date	When change to completion date was approved (month and year)	Explanation for revision
N/A				

SECTION C: Revenue and revenue foregone

Question 21

For 2009-10 and 2010-11, please explain and detail the impact of any variances greater than ± 10 per cent in any revenue/income category detailed in your agency's operating statement in its annual report **and** the total revenue/income for the year compared to:

- (a) the initial budget for the year; and
- (b) the actual for the prior year.

Revenue in 2009-10:

Revenue category	2008-09 actual	2009-10 Budget	2009-10 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent	Impact of variances
N/A					

Revenue in 2010-11:

Revenue category	2009-10 actual	2010-11 Budget	2010-11 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent	Impact of variances
N/A					

Question 22

For each of the income from transactions categories listed by your agency in its comprehensive operating statement in its annual report (e.g. output appropriations, grants, sales of goods and services), please break the income for 2010-11 down into the first six months and second six months of the financial year and explain any variations greater than ± 10 per cent between the two six-month amounts:

Income from transactions	Income 1/7/2010-31/12/2010	Income 1/1/2011-30/6/2011	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
N/A			

Question 23

Please provide an itemised schedule of any concessions and subsidies (revenue foregone) (see the Explanatory Memorandum for a definition of concessions and subsidies) provided by your organisation in 2009-10 and 2010-11. For each item, please:

- (a) describe the purpose of the concession/subsidy;
- (b) explain any variations greater than ± 10 per cent between the actual expenditure and the initial budget for the year;
- (c) explain any variations greater than ± 10 per cent between the actual expenditure and the actual for the prior year;
- (d) indicate the number of concessions/subsidies granted in each category; and
- (e) explain whether the outcomes expected to be achieved by granting these concessions or providing these subsidies have been achieved.

Revenue foregone in 2009-10:

Concession/ subsidy	Purpose	2008-09 actual	2009-10 Budget	2009-10 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent	Number of concessions/subsidies granted in 2009-10	Outcomes achieved
N/A							

Revenue foregone in 2010-11:

Concession/ subsidy	Purpose	2009-10 actual	2010-11 Budget	2010-11 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent	Number of concessions/subsidies granted in 2010-11	Outcomes achieved
N/A							

- (f) Please also detail all new concessions/subsidies provided in 2010-11 by your agency that were announced after the change of government in 2010, their impact on your agency and the social outcomes achieved to date:

Concession/subsidy	Value in 2010-11	Impact on your agency	Outcomes achieved
	(\$ million)		
N/A			

Question 24 (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

This question does not apply to your department.

SECTION D: Expenditure**Question 25**

For 2009-10 and 2010-11, please explain and detail the impact of any variances greater than ± 10 per cent in any expense category detailed in the agency's operating statement in its annual report **and** the total expenditure for the year compared to:

- (a) the initial budget for the year; and
- (b) the actual for the prior year.

Expenditure in 2009-10:

Expenditure category	2008-09 actual	2009-10 Budget	2009-10 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent	Impact of variances
N/A					

Expenditure in 2010-11:

Expenditure category	2009-10 actual	2010-11 Budget	2010-11 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent	Impact of variances
N/A					

Question 26

For each of the expenses from transactions categories listed by your agency in its comprehensive operating statement in its annual report (e.g. employee expenses, grants, depreciation and amortisation), please break the expense for 2010-11 down into the first six months and second six months of the financial year and explain any variations greater than ± 10 per cent between the two six-month amounts:

Expenses from transactions	Expenses 1/7/2010-31/12/2010	Expenses 1/1/2011-30/6/2011	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
N/A			

Question 27

Please provide details of any evaluations of grants programs that were conducted by your agency in 2009-10 or 2010-11, including any findings about:

- the outcomes achieved by the programs; or
- the effectiveness of grants at achieving planned outcomes compared to other modes of service delivery.

Grant program	Evaluation conducted	Outcomes achieved	Effectiveness as a mode of service delivery
N/A			

Question 28 (departments only)

- Please provide the following details about the realisation of efficiency and savings targets in 2009-10 and 2010-11:

Savings realised in 2009-10:

Budget	Total value of efficiencies/savings expected to be realised in 2009-10 from initiatives released in that budget	Actual value of efficiencies/savings achieved from those initiatives	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
N/A			

Savings realised in 2010-11:

Budget	Total value of efficiencies/savings expected to be realised in 2010-11 from initiatives released in that budget	Actual value of efficiencies/savings achieved from those initiatives	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
N/A			

- If any savings targets differ from what was initially indicated in the budget papers, please provide details.

N/A

Question 29 (departments only)

- (c) Please outline the Department's expenditure in 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11 and the savings achieved in 2010-11 for these areas targeted in the Government's election commitment savings:

Category	Actual expenditure			2010-11 savings target	2010-11 actual savings	Explanation for variations between 2010-11 savings target and actual savings greater than ± 10 per cent
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11			
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
N/A						

- (d) If details are not available for any of these categories, please advise:

- (i) why details are not available; and

N/A

- (ii) what measures the Department has in place to monitor its achievement of the Government's election commitment savings targets.

N/A

- (e) If the total savings target for 2010-11 for the Department differs from the figure in the budget papers (\$34.0 million), please explain why:

N/A

Question 30 (departments only)

Please detail any impacts on the Department's service delivery of the election commitment savings, e.g. changes to the timing and scope of specific programs or discontinued programs.

N/A

SECTION E: Public sector workforce

Question 31

Please detail the total full-time equivalent number of staff as at 30 June 2009, 30 June 2010, 31 December 2010 and 30 June 2011, and explain any significant variations (greater than ± 10 per cent) from one date to the next in the following tables:

Numbers in 2009 and 2010:

Total FTE (30 June 2009)	Total FTE (30 June 2010)	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
The VSC does not employ staff.		

Numbers in 2010:

Total FTE (30 June 2010)	Total FTE (31 December 2010)	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
N/A		

Numbers in 2010 and 2011:

Total FTE (31 December 2010)	Total FTE (30 June 2011)	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
N/A		

Question 32

In the tables below, please detail the salary costs for 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11, broken down by ongoing, fixed-term and casual and explain any variations greater than 10 per cent between the years for each category.

Costs in 2009-10:

Employment category	Gross salary 2008-09	Gross salary 2009-10	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
The VSC does not employ staff.			

Costs in 2010-11:

Employment category	Gross salary 2009-10	Gross salary 2010-11	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
The VSC does not employ staff.			

For each of the employment categories, please break the expense for 2010-11 down into the first six months and second six months of the financial year and explain any variations greater than ± 10 per cent between the two six-month amounts:

Employment category	Gross salary 1/7/2010-31/12/2010	Gross salary 1/1/2011-30/6/2011	Explanation for any variations greater than ± 10 per cent
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
The VSC does not employ staff.			

Question 33

- (a) For what roles within your organisation were contractors or contract staff used in 2009-10 and 2010-11 (refer to Explanatory Memorandum for definition of contractors)?

To support the VSC Board in strategic, business and risk planning. All other training system contracts are reported through Department responses.

- (b) Please itemise the services delivered by contractors or contract staff in 2009-10 and 2010-11:

Contractors/contract staff in 2009-10:

Service category	Number of contractors/contract staff	Value of services (\$)
Financial Services (Audit Committee)	2	15,000.00
Research	2	137,970.84
Annual Report Preparation	4	9,438.14
Facilitation	3	60,833.60

Contractors/contract staff in 2010- 2011:

Service category	Number of contractors/contract staff	Value of services (\$)
Financial Services (Audit Committee)	2	15,000.00
Annual Report Preparation	2	13,751.65

- (c) For each specific contractor or contract staff paid in excess of \$100,000 per annum that has been engaged by your organisation during 2009-10 or 2010-11, please supply the following details:

Contractors/contract staff in 2009-10:

Supplier	Purpose	Value of services (\$)	Number of contractors/contract staff (FTE) employed for longer than 12 months	Reasons why a VPS employee or equivalent could not undertake the work
University of Sydney	Develop Primary Industry Workforce	101,175.84	0	Skills normally available but not available at time of project.

	Development Plan			
--	------------------	--	--	--

Contractors/contract staff in 2010-11:

Supplier	Purpose	Value of services (\$)	Number of contractors/contract staff (FTE) employed for longer than 12 months	Reasons why a VPS employee or equivalent could not undertake the work
None				

Question 34

- (a) For what roles within your organisation were consultants used in 2009-10 and 2010-11 (refer to Explanatory Memorandum for definition of consultants)?

None

- (b) Please itemise the services delivered by consultants in 2009-10 and 2010-11:

Consultants in 2009-10:

Service category	Number of consultants	Value of services (\$)
N/A		

Consultants from 1 July to 31 December 2010:

Service category	Number of consultants	Value of services (\$)
N/A		

Consultants from 1 January to 30 June 2011:

Service category	Number of consultants	Value of services (\$)
N/A		

- (c) For each specific consultant paid in excess of \$100,000 per annum that has been engaged by your organisation during 2009-10 or 2010-11, please supply the following details:

Consultants in 2009-10:

Supplier	Purpose	Value of services (\$)	Number of consultants (FTE) employed for longer than 12 months	Reasons why a VPS employee or equivalent could not undertake the work
N/A				

Consultants from 1 July to 31 December 2010:

Supplier	Purpose	Value of services (\$)	Number of consultants (FTE) employed for longer than 12 months	Reasons why a VPS employee or equivalent could not undertake the work
N/A				

Consultants from 1 January to 30 June 2011:

Supplier	Purpose	Value of services (\$)	Number of consultants (FTE) employed for longer than 12 months	Reasons why a VPS employee or equivalent could not undertake the work
N/A				

Question 35

- (c) Please break down the staff turnover ratio (total left employment during the year expressed as a percentage of total headcount) for your organisation during 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11 according to the following age brackets:

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
The VSC does not employ staff			

- (d) Please describe the factors contributing to any variations greater than ± 10 per cent from one year to the next.

N/A

- (e) Please describe any strategies that are planned to be introduced to retain staff, reduce the level of voluntary staff turnover and reduce the likelihood that critical roles will become vacant in future?

N/A

Question 36

The State Services Authority (*The State of the Public Sector in Victoria 2008-09*, Chapter 4) has identified collaboration, agility and innovation as important to the future of the Victorian public sector. Please detail any initiatives undertaken by your organisation in 2009-10 or in 2010-11 prior to the change of government that have been designed to enhance these three qualities and what outcomes have been achieved by these initiatives:

	Initiative	Outcomes
Collaboration	Primary industry workforce development plan, developed jointly with Department of Primary Industries (DPI) and the then Department of Innovation, Industry and Regional Development and industry.	Primary industry workforce development plan developed in context of DPI Future Farming strategy.
Agility		
Innovation		

Please also supply details of initiatives designed to enhance these three qualities undertaken since the change of government in November 2010:

	Initiative	Outcomes
Collaboration		
Agility		
Innovation		

Question 37

Please complete the following tables showing number of executive staff and total value of bonuses paid in the 2009-10 and 2010-11 performance periods:

Bonuses in 2009-10:

Executive category	Number of staff (FTE)			Total value of bonuses paid (\$)
	Eligible for a performance bonus	Not awarded bonus payment	Awarded bonus payment	
The VSC does not employ staff.				

Note (a): Combine categories to preserve confidentiality where necessary

Bonuses in 2010-11:

Executive category	Number of staff (FTE)			Total value of bonuses paid (\$)
	Eligible for a performance bonus	Not awarded bonus payment	Awarded bonus payment	
The VSC does not employ staff.				

Note (a): Combine categories to preserve confidentiality where necessary

Question 38

In the following table, please show for your organisation the actual range of bonuses paid (expressed as a percentage of total remuneration).

Rating	Proportion of total remuneration package actually paid (expressed as a range from x% to y%)	
	2009-10	2010-11
The VSC does not employ staff.		

The above format is based on the Executive Employment Handbook. If your organisation adopted another approach for awarding bonuses, please provide details.

SECTION F: Program outcomes

Outcomes reflect the impact on the community of the goods and services provided by a department. The questions in this section all relate to the outcomes that the Department contributed to in 2009-10 and 2010-11.

Question 39 (departments only)

For each of the following *Growing Victoria Together* outcomes for which the Department had partial or full responsibility, please indicate what was achieved by 26 November 2010 for each of the established measures:

Vision	Goal	Measure	Outcome achieved in 2010
N/A			

Question 40

- (a) Using the format of the table below, please outline the five most important outcomes achieved by your organisation's programs/activities between 27 November 2010 and 30 June 2011 (where your organisation has been the key player) including:
- (i) what was planned;
 - (ii) what was achieved;
 - (iii) quantitative or qualitative data to demonstrate this achievement;
 - (iv) any other Victorian public sector organisations or agencies from other jurisdictions that have worked across organisational boundaries to contribute to this outcome; and
 - (v) the relationship of these outcomes to any government strategies or goals.

Planned outcome to be achieved	Description of actual outcome achieved	Quantitative or qualitative data to demonstrate outcome	Other agencies involved	Relationship to major government strategy
1. Green Skills Audit	Audit of skills required for carbon abatement	Audit report prepared, under consideration for publication	Department of Business and Innovation, Sustainability Victoria	Previous government strategy
2. Review of VSC apprenticeship delegation arrangements	Improved apprenticeship delegation arrangements	Revised reporting to VSC on apprenticeship delegation arrangements	Department of Business and Innovation (DBI)	N/A
3. Provide advice to strengthen training service models for disadvantaged learner cohorts	<p>Audit of existing practice</p> <p>Communications plan to inform disadvantaged learners of Victorian Training Guarantee</p> <p>Inform development of best practice models and strategies to engage hard to reach learners</p> <p>Established grants program to test service models involving community service organisations (CSOs) and RTO partnerships</p>	<p>Preliminary literature review provided December 2010</p> <p>Informed a review of effective models being conducted by Skills Victoria</p> <p>Endorsed grant program, communication plan and evaluation project that will result in tools, targeted information, better practice model, research and evaluation reports</p>	CSOs, DHS, DBI, VAEAI, DPCD, TAFEs and RTOs	Increasing percentage of Victorian population with Cert III or above.

(b) Please also identify any significant program outcomes that were planned but not achieved between 27 November 2010 and 30 June 2011 and the underlying reasons.

Outcome not achieved	Explanation
N/A	

Question 41

For the following initiatives that were due to be completed in 2009-10 and 2010-11, please provide details of the outcomes expected to be achieved and the outcomes actually achieved to date. Please quantify outcomes where possible.

Initiative	Expected year of completion	Actual date of completion (month and year)	Expected outcomes	Actual outcomes
N/A				

SECTION G: Adapting to the change of government

Question 42

- (f) Were any planned organisational priorities changed during 2010-11 as a result of the change of government in 2010? If so, please specify.

No

- (a) Were any corporate plans or similar documents modified in 2010-11 as a result of the change of government in 2010? If so, please specify.

No

Question 43

Please detail the impact on your agency of any machinery-of-government changes following the change of government in 2010, including:

- (a) how your agency adapted;
- (b) any disruptions to program or project delivery; and
- (c) any improvements to program or project delivery that have been enabled as a result of the change.

Machinery-of-government change	Adaptations in response	Disruptions to program/project delivery	Improvements to program/project delivery
VSC delegations were mostly unaffected by the MoG.	Delegations were reviewed.		

Question 44

Please detail any new processes that were introduced into your agency following the change of government in 2010 for monitoring or managing expenditure on output or asset projects/programs:

N/A

Question 45

For each program and project (delivering either outputs or assets) which was curtailed, deferred or discontinued in 2010-11 following the change of government in 2010, please provide the following details:

- (a) the name of the program/project;
- (b) whether it delivered outputs (i.e. goods and services) or assets;
- (c) the budgeted and actual expenditure in 2010-11; and
- (d) the reasons for which the program/project was curtailed, deferred or discontinued.

Program/project	Output or asset delivery	Budgeted 2010-11 expenditure	Actual 2010-11 expenditure	Reasons why it was curtailed, deferred or discontinued
		(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
N/A				

Question 46

For each program and project (delivering either outputs or assets) which was introduced in 2010-11 following the change of government in 2010 which had not been planned prior to the change of government, please provide the following details:

- (a) the name of the program/project;
- (b) whether it delivered outputs (i.e. goods and services) or assets;
- (c) the budgeted and actual expenditure in 2010-11; and
- (d) the reasons for which the program/project was introduced.

Program/project	Output or asset delivery	Budgeted 2010-11 expenditure	Actual 2010-11 expenditure	Reasons why it was introduced
		(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
N/A				

CONTACT DETAILS

Organisation: Victorian Skills Commission (VSC)

Contact Officer: Colin Twisse

Position: General Manager, Executive and Ministerial Services, Department of Education and Early Childhood Development

Contact numbers: Telephone: 9637 73535

Fax: 9637 3369

E-mail: twisse.colin.c@edumail.vic.gov.au

The completed questionnaire must be returned by no later than COB, 2 December 2011.

Please return the response (including an electronic version) of the questionnaire to:

Valerie Cheong
Executive Officer
Public Accounts and Estimates Committee
Level 3, 55 St Andrews Place
EAST MELBOURNE VIC 3002

Telephone: 03 8682 2867
Fax: 03 8682 2898
Email: paec@parliament.vic.gov.au

For inquiries on this questionnaire, please contact the Executive Officer or:

Christopher Gribbin
Senior Research Officer
03 8682 2865

Ian Claessen
Research Officer
03 8682 2861